



Called 1987

Email clerks@187chambers.com

Telephone 020 7430 7430

## David Lyons

David Lyons was called to the Bar by Middle Temple in 1987.

He is a specialist criminal defence /regulatory barrister.

He does not prosecute.

His practice covers the entire range of courts, alone and as a leading junior.

He appears in all types of criminal cases but has particular expertise in defence cases involving;

- Serious violence.
- Large scale / serious Drug offences.
- Serious sexual offences involving both adult and child complainants (including historic rape allegations).
- Serious dishonesty, including fraud.
- Computer crime, including fraud and hacking.
- Online child sexual offences, grooming, internet vigilante and indecent image cases.
- Duty and VAT evasion,
- · Death by careless and dangerous driving.
- · Dangerous Dogs offences.
- MCA prosecutions

He appears in Courts-Martial both in the UK and overseas.

He also appears in disciplinary and regulatory tribunals of all types.

Mr Lyons also has experience of Coroners inquests, acting for the deceased's family, employers, persons allegedly causing the death and other interested parties.

He acts for the Appellants in appeals against the refusal and/or revocation of shotgun and firearms certificates.

The bulk of his work is in London and the South-East, but he is happy to travel to any part of the UK or overseas for the right case.

David Lyons also provides advice on appeal against sentence and conviction in cases where he did not appear in the original trial, including historical cases and those where the prisoner received a IPP.



## Criminal Defence

- R v B Court of Appeal David Lyons appeared for one of the appellants in an important appeal centring on errors by the Magistrates Court in sending matters to the Crown Court.
- **R v W Kingston** Mr Lyons secured the dismissal of counts of Robbery, Aggravated Burglary and Disqualified Driving at a dismissal hearing on the basis that a SFR1 DNA analysis was not admissible at a dismissal hearing
- **R v M Canterbury** Mr Lyons secured the acquittal of the Defendant on charges of possession of class "A" drugs with intent to supply in a case that turned on DNA analysis and breaches by the Police of the CPIA codes.
- $R \ v \ PS Woolwich Mr$  Lyons defended in a firearms case centred around the "curio or ornament" exemption for antique firearms.
- **R v RS Woolwich** Mr Lyons secured the release of a defendant on bail failing disclosure failures by the CPS preventing a domestic violence trial going ahead, leading to a potential breach of the CTL
- **R v A Winchester** Secured acquittal after a jury retirement of less than an hour in a county lines case of a man found alone in a cuckooed flat, with large amounts of drugs and money whilst the drug line phone was in his pocket.
- **R v K Woolwich** Successful defence in a nine handed gang-land kidnapping case. The complainant was found tied up half naked in the Defendant's bath, the defence was that the whole kidnap had been faked to scam the complainant's family.
- **R v JD** Secured the acquittal of a professional boxer after a five week trial on charges of conspiracy to produce and distribute class "A" drugs and money laundering after the police wrongfully seized the proceeds of a charity boxing match as drugs money.



- **R v E** acquittal on violent robbery, based on telephone evidence.
- $\bf R \ v \ B \ \& \ B$  Defended married couple prosecuted for contempt after failing to attend as witnesses in a GBH trial in which they were the victims. Husband received short custodial sentence and wife was fined.
- **R v D** Acquittal on class "A" drug supply case, based on telephone evidence.
- **R v R** violent street robbery based on cell site evidence, retrial awaited after jury discharged for second time.
- **R v N** Rape and grooming trial, involving false Facebook pages acquitted on all counts.
- R v Marines A, B and C Court martial involving special forces case remitted to unit for commanders decision.
- **R v R** Operation Barlow I where he acted for the alleged ringleader and one other in the (then) largest ever VAT / duty evasion prosecuted by Customs at over £300m, both were acquitted.
- **R v R** Operation Barlow II, follow on from Barlow I, this time a mere £7.5m.
- **R v B** £5m "long-firm" fraud in the carpet trade.
- **R v D** Juvenile alleged to have killed two motorists by moving a road sign, case dismissed at the close of the prosecution case.
- **R v S** Ex post facto appeal based on the effects of Prozac on a teacher of good character charged with attempting to murder his neighbor, sentence reduced to allow for immediate release.
- ${\bf R} {\bf \ v} {\bf \ O}$  Attempted murder / robbery case appeal based on juror who repeatedly used her telephone while in the jury box at the Old Bailey.
- **R v A** Court martial of Special Forces troops with national security dimension.
- ${\bf R} {\bf v} {\bf G}$  Unreported, at least in the law reports, he acted for a Bank manager who stole over two million pounds and spent it on Parrots! See The Independent (London), Sep 3, 2003.
- **R v S** He appeared for the Defendant in a sex tourism case with over 90 counts on the indictment. The case involved an interesting point as to proof of age by photos alone in rape counts, this point is currently being taken on appeal.
- R v R Ebay fraud

## Reported Cases

R v Sirignano <1997> EWCA Crim 2824.



R v Owen & Anor <1998> EWCA Crim 3142

R v Owens & Stevens <1999> 2 Cr.A.R. 59.

**R v John Smith** <1999> EWCA Crim 1906.

R v John James <2000> EWCA Crim 64.

R v Santiago <2005> EWCA Crim 556

R. v. Johnson <2010> 2 Cr.App.R.(S.) 154

R v B Neutral Citation Number: <2012> EWCA Crim 1433

R. v Kane <2013> EWCA Crim 148 (Trial Counsel and junior for the appeal).

**R v B** – [2016] EWCA Crim 1122

Regina v Thomas Greig – [2010] EWCA Crim 1183

R. (on the application of Culley) v Dorchester Crown Court – [2007] EWHC 109 (Admin)

R v B – Court of Appeal <2023> EWCA Crim 1131

## **Direct Access**

David Lyons is approved to accept instructions direct from international and UK clients on a direct access basis, without the need for a solicitor intermediary in appropriate cases.